TABLE OF CONTENTS.

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED.

Al Cin Tittelland	PAGE		
Ah Sin v. Wittman	500		
Alabama and Georgia Manufacturing Company, River-			
dale Cotton Mills v	188		
Allen v . Argumbau	149		
American Automatic Loom Company, Kendall v	477		
American Fur Refining Company, Cimiotti Unhairing			
Company v	399		
American Soda Fountain Company, McPherson v	587		
Argumbau, Allen v.	149		
Astrich v. German-American Insurance Company of			
New York	583		
Atlantic Coast Line Railroad Company, Wilson v	585		
Atlantic Lumber Company v. L. Bucki & Son Lumber	٠.		
Company	588		
Company	000		
Balk, Harris v	215		
Bank of Le Roy, MacNabb v	583		
Barber v. Lazarus .	585		
Bay Manufacturing Company, Orrell v	581		
Beavers v. Haubert	77		
Beavers v. United States	77		
Benner, Lane v.	579		
•	919 T		
Benson v. Henkel	390		
Birrell v. New York and Harlem Railroad Company .			
Board of Trade of the City of Chicago v. Christie Grain			
and Stock Company	236		
Board of Trade of the City of Chicago v. Hammond			
Elevator Company	424		

	PAGE
Board of Trade of the City of Chicago, L. A. Kinsey	
Company v	236
Boatmen's Bank of St. Louis, Fritzlen v:	586
Bonin v . Gulf Company	115
Brunswick-Balke-Collender Company v. Klumpp	587
Bryan v. Dupoyster	585
Cahill v . Norris and Cumings Dredging Company	582
Cahill v . Olsen	582
Central Pacific Railroad Company, Remington v	95
Chicago and Western Indiana Railroad Company v.	
`Newell	579
Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company v.	
Umted States	385
Chicago Title and Trust Company, First National Bank	
of Chicago v.	280
Christie Grain and Stock Company, Board of Trade of	
the City of Chicago v .	236
Cimiotti Unhairing Company v. American Fur Refining	
Company	399
City of Superior, Jewell v	583
Clark v. Nash	361
Consumers' Gas Trust Company v. Quinby	585
Cornell Steamboat Company, United States v	·583
Covington v. First National Bank of Covington	100
Covington, First National Bank of Covington v	100
Crenshaw, Pabst Brewing Company v.	17
Cunnius, now Smith, v. Reading School District	458
Currie, Jaster v	144
Curtis, Scherf v	589
Darden, Thompson v.	310
Darnel v. United States	586
Dashiell, Texas and Pacific Railway Company v	521
Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Company	
v. Pennsylvania	341

' T	able of Cas	es Repor	ted.				
Diamond Drill and Ma	ahma C	ým na naz	TZ ali	OT 41			PAGE 584
Dieckerhoff, United St		unpany	17611	ey v.	•	•	583
Direct Navigation Cor		eineken	27	•	•	•	586
Direct Navigation Con				•	•	•	586
District of Columbia,			•		•	•	586
District of Columbia	•		•	•	•	•	584
Duehay v. District of		a	_			٠	586
Dunbar v. Green							166
Dupoyster, Bryan v.			·				585
- apogatos, magazin	-	-		-	•	·	
Empire State-Idaho M	lining an	d, Devel	oping	Com	pany	v.	
Hanley		•	•	•	•		292
First National Bank	of Chica	go v. (Chica	go Ti	itle a	nd	
Trust Company				•		•	280
First National Bank of	f Covingt	on v. Co	ving	ton		•	100
First National Bank o	f Coving	on, Cov	ngto	n v.		•	100
Fritzlen v. Boatmen's	Bank of S	t. Louis					5 86
Gastonia Cotton Man Company v. German-American Inc		-	• •				177
Astrich v .	•	-	•			•	583
Glaser, In re	•	•		•			171
Great Western Minin	g and M	anufacti	uring	.Com	pany	v.	
Harris	•	•	•	•		•	561
Green; Dunbar v		•	•	•	•		166
Gulf Company, Bonin	n v.	•	•		ż		115
Gurvich v. United Sta	tes .	•	•	•	•	•	581
Hammond Elevator (City of Chicago v.		, Board	of '	Trade	of	the	424
Hanley, Empire Sta		Mining	and	l De	velop	ıng	
Company v .		8				5	292
Harding v. Harding		•					317
Harding, Harding v.		•	•	•			317

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

vii

317

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

•	PAGE
Harley v . United States	229
Harris v. Balk .	215
Harris, Great Western Mining and Manufacturing Com-	
pany v .	561
Hatch v. Ketcham	580
Haubert, Beavers v	77
Heineken v. Direct Navigation Company	586
Hemphill, Schlosser v	173
Henkel, Benson v.	1
Hernan v. State of Texas	579
Holden v. Stratton .	202
Howe Scale Company v. Wyckoff, Seamans & Benedict	118
Humphrey v. Tatman	91
• ~	
Jaster v. Currie	144
Jewell v. City of Superior	5 83
Ju Toy, United States v	253
••	
Kelley v. Diamond Drill and Machine Company .	584
Kendall v. American Automatic Loom Company	477
Kerr v. United States	589
Ketcham, Hatch v.	5 80.
Kierns v. New York and Harlem Railroad Company	390
Klumpp, Brunswick-Balke-Collender Company v.	587
Knights of Pythias, Supreme Lodge, v. Meyer	508
g , , <u>,</u> , , , ,	
L. A. Kinsey Company v. Board of Trade of the City of	
Chicago	236
Lane v. Benner	579
Lavagnino v. Uhlig	443
Lazarus, Barber v.	585
Leather Manufacturers' National Bank of New York	
City v. Treat	584
L. Bucki & Son Lumber Company, Atlantic Lumber	
Company v.	588
Las District of Columbia a	584

	PAGE
Lee Look v. Ross	580
Leonard v. Vicksburg, Shreveport and Pacific Railroad	
Company .	416
Lochner v. New York	45
Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company v. West	
Coast Naval Stores Company	483
MacNabb v. Bank of Le Roy	583
McCaully, In re	582
McCaully v. United States	586
McPherson v. American Soda Fountain Company.	587
McQuesten, Steigleder v .	141
Mauleon y Castillo v. Urrutia y Corton	588
Meyer Boot and Shoe Manufacturing Company, Shoe-	
smith v .	582
Meyer, Supreme Lodge, Knights of Pythias v	.508
Moar, Walp v.	588
Moya y Rodriquez, Rosales y Cueli v	581
Nash, Clark v	361
Newell, Chicago and Western Indiana Railroad Com-	
pany v	579
New York, Lochner v	45
New York and Harlem Railroad Company, Birrell v.	390
New York and Harlem Railroad Company, Kierns v	390
New York Telephone Company v . Treat	584
Nifou, In re,	581
Norms and Cumings Dredging Company, Cahill v	582
Old Dominion Steamship Company v. Virginia	299
Olsen, Cahill v.	582
Orrell v. Bay Manufacturing Company	581
Pabst Brewing Company v. Crenshaw	17
Pearson v. Williams	585
Pennsylvama, Delaware, Lackawanna and Western	
Railroad Company v	341

			PAGE
Peoples' National Bank of Lebanon, Van Reed	v.		554
Pitch Pine Lumber Company v. Rosasco	,•	•	587
Plymouth Cordage Company v. Smith	•		588
Quinby, Consumers' Gas Trust Company v.			585
Ralli v. Direct Navigation Company .			586
Raphael v. Rio Grande Western Railway. C	ompar	ıy	587
Reading School District, Cunnius, now Smith,	v.		458
Remington v. Central Pacific Railroad Compa	ny		95
Rio Grande Western Railway Company, Raj	phael	v.	587
Riverdale Cotton Mills v. Alabama and George	gia M	anu-	
facturing Company .	_		188
Robinson & Watson v. Wingate			580
Rodriquez v. United States .			156
Rosales y Cueli v. Moya y Rodriquez	•		581
Rosasco, Pitch Pine Lumber Company v.			587
Ross, Lee Look v .			580
Russell v . Russell .			584
Russell, Russell v .	•		584
Savannah, Savannah, Thunderbolt and Isle Railway v .	e of]	Норе	392
Savannah, Thunderbolt and Isle of Hope	Railwa	ıy v.	
Savannah .			392
Scherf v. Curtis			589
Schlosser v. Hemphill			173
Security Warehousing Company v. Wilson			530
Shoesmith v. Meyer Boot and Shoe Manufactor	uring (Com-	
pany			582
Smith, Plymouth Cordage Company v.			588
Smith v. Reading School District	•		458
Staake v. Watts, Robertson & Robertson			587
State of Texas, Hernan v.			579
Steigleder v. McQuesten .			141
Stratton, Holden v.			202

Supreme Lodge, Knights of Pythias v. Meyer
Supreme Lodge, Knights of Pythias v. Meyer
Texas and Pacific Railway Company v. Dashiell
Texas and Pacific Railway Company v. Dashiell
Texas, State of, Hernan v
Thompson v. Darden
Treat, Leather Manufacturers' National Bank of New York City v
York City v
Treat, New York Telephone Company v
Uhlig, Lavagnino v
Uhlig, Lavagnino v
Union Trust Company and Security Warehousing Conpany v. Wilson . 530 United States, Beavers v
pany v. Wilson . 530 United States, Beavers v
pany v. Wilson . 530 United States, Beavers v
United States, Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company v. 385 United States v. Cornell Steamboat Company . 583
United States, Darnel v 586
Umted States v. Dieckerhoff 583
United States, Gurvich v 581
United States, Harley v
United States v. Ju Toy
United States, Kerr v. 589
United States, McCaully v. 586
United States, Rodriquez v 156
United States v. Winans 371
Urrutia y Corton, Mauleon y Castillo v
Van Reed v. Peoples' National Bank of Lebanon 554
Vicksburg, Shreveport and Pacific Railroad Company,
Leonard v 416
Virginia, Old Dominion Steamship Company v 299
Walp v. Moar 588
Watts, Robertson & Robertson, Staake v 587

PAGE
539
483
539
585
530
371
580
500
177
585
118